We're obviously continuing our investigation and we've

discussed a status conference for June 13th that both parties agree to and to exclude time between now and then. I think that should give us a good amount of time to be able to, at that point, talk about discovery motions and things like that -- I mean, motion scheduling and things like that.

THE COURT: With the discovery I take it there is some material that Mr. Gregory needs to review with designated computers or at a special location because of the superseding indictment charges; is that right?

MR. EDWARDS-BALFOUR: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Aside from that discovery, have you produced everything that you have?

MR. EDWARDS-BALFOUR: Most of everything, yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So when are you going to be done with producing what you have?

MR. EDWARDS-BALFOUR: I believe we should be done with the things that are in our possession currently, because there are things that are coming in, but we can be done by next week, but the other stuff that Mr. Gregory will actually need to see it, it just takes some time, so I can't --

THE COURT: Got it.

MR. EDWARDS-BALFOUR: It's not about us being able to just like give it, it's more about scheduling time to get together.

PROCEEDINGS

1 THE COURT: But it's the parties' expectation 2 June 30th that all those materials will have been produced and 3 Mr. Gregory will have had the opportunity to review all the materials that need to be reviewed with the special computer. 4 5 MR. EDWARDS-BALFOUR: That's our hope, your Honor. 6 June 13th though. 7 THE COURT: June 13th. 8 MR. EDWARDS-BALFOUR: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Okay. And how about from your perspective, Mr. Gregory? 10 MR. GREGORY: Your Honor, I think it's fair to say 11 12 that the majority of the discovery in this case or a 13 significant amount of discovery is protected. I'll tell you 14 now that the agent and Mr. Chand have been forthcoming. 15 arranged for Mr. Almeida, myself and my paralegal to go down 16 and look at a significant amount today, but my understanding 17 is, I think they have 2000 images today, or was it 200? 18 THE AGENT: A couple a hundred today. 19 MR. GREGORY: A couple a hundred. My understanding 20 there is 400,000 images, so this is going to take a 21 significant amount of time, and I'm not -- we're working on 22 the case --23 THE COURT: Sure. 24 MR. GREGORY: -- it's not as though we're just

trying to delay and look for additional time, which I know

PROCEEDINGS

this Court confronts with some prosecutors and defense lawyers, we're working together.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. GREGORY: So I can assure the Court that I will stay in close touch with Mr. Chand and the agent and that we'll try to set schedules where we're looking at this discovery on a regular basis for as much time as we can so we can get through it.

There's also some warrants I want to get a look at to see if there is any Fourth Amendment issues in the case and what I would ask is this, Judge -- and you may want me to do this in writing and I will if you want -- is I'd love to get a second lawyer on this case. There's that much work and that much discovery. I have somebody in mind who is extremely capable, smart and I've worked with him before, Zachary Taylor. I'm not sure if you know him. So I'd ask if you do that from the bench orally or I can submit a letter.

THE COURT: Yes, why don't you put in a written request. I know sometimes folks have had like their associate or kind of a CJA trainee, but it sounds like you're looking for something else and I'm not sure I've done that in a case that's not a death-eligible case. But if you put it in a letter and let me know who you're thinking of and what you're thinking that would be helpful.

MR. GREGORY: Yes, I know other judges have done

PROCEEDINGS

1 it --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. GREGORY: -- I'm not asking you to follow other judges, you're going to do it the way you want to do it, so I'll send a letter.

THE COURT: Sure, sounds great. So I'll set this down for a further status conference on June 13th to allow for the review of the discovery materials that's ongoing and I'll set that conference for 10 a.m. and exclude time between today and June 13th to allow the defense to review the discovery materials in this case and decide if there are any motions that the defense wants to file. I find that the ends of justice served by the exclusion of time outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a Speedy Trial. That is, as I said, I'm mindful that this is a case that's been around since 2021, at least in its initial form, and Mr. Almeida has been in custody, so I want to do what we can to keep the case moving along.

MR. GREGORY: Yes. The only thing I would say,

Judge, is from my perspective, and I bet Mr. Almeida would

agree with me, if there's additional investigations that are

ongoing and there's going to be additional charges, we would

prefer that we complete this case rather than — complete the

case and then have another indictment fall. So the only thing

I would say is, I agree with the Court it is a 2021 case, but